



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT DP COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			*****.d.spco.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	I A TITTO DO STATE OF THE STATE	
09/961,385	09/25/2001		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11,200	09/23/2001	Takashi Matsuo	325772025600	£490

25227 7590 07/25/2002 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW

SUITE 5500 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1888

EXAMINER	
BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2834

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Committee Commit	Ale
•	Application No.	Applicant(s) Mats	บอ
Office Action Summary	Examiner M. Bu	1 2	nit .
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence	e address—
Period for Reply	""		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE	MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	i, a reply within the statutory mir efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr r statute, cause the application is	nimum of thirty (30) days will be o om the mailing date of this comm to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	considered timely. nunication. S.C. § 133).
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 			is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the	application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn fror	n consideration.
(Claim(s) 1, 5-10 a	M 12-30	is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s)	·	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s) 2-4 aw 11		is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restric	tion or election
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	ojected to by the Examiner		
$\hfill \square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
★ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d).	
M All □ Some* □ None of the:	, (2	7 (-).	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been		No	
Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	•		
in this national stage application from the Internation		2(a))	
*Certified copies not received:	·		<u> </u>
Attachment(s)	, , ,		
✓ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Papel	r No(s). 5(18- 33-31) □ 1	nterview Summary, PTO-41	3
XNotice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Ap	

Office Action Summary

☐ Other -

☐. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Application/Control Number: 09/961,385

Art Unit: 2834

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.Ş.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Mori.

More (figs. 5, 9 and 10) teaches a driving apparatus comprising the drive unit, synthesizing unit #10, piezo stacks #1, #2, base #17 and spring member #22a, b (fig. 5), #19A (fig. 9) or #115 (fig.10). Regulation is achieved via #20a, b (fig. 5) #99 (fig. 9) or #116 (fig. 10) which structures regulate the displacement of the driving unit with a prescribed distance between the base and the regulating (fixed) structure. This distance can be the thickness gaps shown in figs. 9 or 10. The structure inherently provides the claimed method of operation.

Claims 2-4 and 11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Further cited of interest are Matsuda, Tojo and Hayashi.

MAAY EXAMINE ART HANG 210